DABUDA LIMITED

AI ASSURANCE EVIDENCE SERIES

Founder Experience Brief

Global financial-services discipline applied to AI assurance, release governance, and public-sector delivery confidence.

FOUNDER EXPERIENCE BRIEF

Version: April 2026

Tone: Executive, evidence-led, procurement-ready

PROCUREMENT SUMMARY

Founder Experience Brief

DaBuDa Limited is founded on experience gained in highly regulated, high-control environments across global financial services and public-sector AI delivery. The operating model is deliberately evidence-led: governance, risk control, release readiness, and measurable assurance are treated as core delivery disciplines rather than late-stage documentation.

Positioning statement
DaBuDa does not present AI assurance as marketing theatre. It treats assurance as a controlled evidence process designed to support responsible deployment, stakeholder review, and defensible release decisions.

Founder Summary

The founder brings experience spanning senior leadership within global financial services, large-scale digital transformation, enterprise testing, release governance, and AI system testing within public-sector programmes.

Experience area	Practical relevance to AI assurance
Senior leadership in global financial services	Control culture, evidence discipline, senior stakeholder accountability, and governance-ready reporting.
Large-scale transformation delivery	Coordination across product, engineering, operations, risk, and business decision-makers.
Enterprise testing and release governance	Release gates, defect triage, test coverage, risk-based prioritisation, and audit trails.
Public-sector AI testing and QA	Scenario testing, fairness considerations, user trust, accessibility, and transparent decision evidence.

Global Financial Services Experience: JPMorgan Chase

The founder previously operated at senior level within JPMorgan Chase, contributing to mobile banking platform delivery and release governance in a high-availability, high-control environment.

Relevant contribution areas

- Mobile banking platform delivery and controlled release governance.
- Large-scale test strategy and execution across multiple delivery teams.
- Risk-based testing approaches aligned to regulatory and operational expectations.
- Production release controls within high-availability systems.

Operating characteristics of this environment

- Strict release gates, defined approvals, and accountable ownership.
- Strong auditability, traceability, and evidence requirements.
- Continuous testing across delivery, integration, regression, and production readiness.
- Alignment between technology delivery, operational risk, and business assurance.

Public-Sector AI Testing Experience: British Council

The founder has led AI testing and quality-assurance activities within British Council AI-enabled programmes, with a focus on AI-driven learning and assessment systems.

Scope of work

- Testing AI-driven learning and assessment journeys.
- Evaluating model behaviour across representative user scenarios.
- Validating output consistency, appropriateness, and escalation behaviour.
- Supporting development of AI evaluation strategies and evidence packs.

The work required attention to fairness, accessibility, user trust, and the evidential standards expected when digital systems affect public-facing services.

Application to DaBuDa AI Assurance

DaBuDa assurance principle	How founder experience informs delivery
Structured lifecycle testing	Assurance starts in design and continues through validation, release, monitoring, and re-testing.
Evidence-led judgement	Recommendations are based on documented scenarios, results, limitations, and residual risk.
Release readiness criteria	Go, no-go, conditional approval, and pilot recommendations are tied to transparent thresholds.
Audit-ready artefacts	Decision records, issue logs, coverage reports, and stakeholder sign-off trails are produced for review.

Assurance stance
AI assurance is treated as a continuous control process, not a single checkpoint. This is consistent with UK public-sector guidance that expects evaluation, validation, monitoring, and risk management across the AI lifecycle.

Why This Matters for Councils and Regulated Enterprises

AI systems used in public services and regulated environments can introduce risks that are operational, ethical, legal, reputational, and service-related. The assurance response must therefore be proportionate, documented, and testable.

Risk area	Assurance response
Bias and unfair outcomes	Scenario design, equality impact review, fairness checks, and subgroup outcome analysis.
Lack of explainability	Explanation samples, decision traceability, and human-readable model behaviour evidence.
Unpredictable edge-case behaviour	Ambiguous queries, adversarial prompts, failure-mode testing, and safe fallback validation.
Erosion of public trust	Transparency artefacts, governance records, defined boundaries, and human escalation controls.

Important Note

Role and employer disclaimer
All references to prior roles relate to individual experience gained during previous employment. No endorsement, partnership, sponsorship, client relationship, or representation by JPMorgan Chase, British Council, or any former employer is implied.

Guidance Alignment

This document is aligned to current UK public-sector AI assurance expectations and uses the following references as governance context. It does not claim certification, accreditation, formal approval, or legal compliance.

- UK Government: AI Playbook for the UK Government, 10 February 2025.
- UK Government: Algorithmic Transparency Recording Standard guidance for public sector bodies, 8 May 2025.
- UK Government: Data and AI Ethics Framework, updated 18 December 2025.
- Cross-Government Testing Community: AI Testing and Assurance Framework for Public Sector.
- UK Government: Trusted third-party AI assurance roadmap, 3 September 2025.